

Holographic constraint and effective field theories with N -species

R. Horvat*

Rudjer Bošković Institute, P.O.B. 180, 10002 Zagreb, Croatia

Abstract

Effective field theories that manifest UV/IR mode mixing in such a way as to be valid for arbitrarily large volumes, can be used for gravitational, non-black hole events to be accounted for. In formulating such theories with a large number of particle species N , we employ constraints from the muon $g - 2$, higher-dimensional operator corrections due to the required UV and IR cutoffs as well as the RG evolution in a conventional field-theoretical model in curved space. While in general our bounds on N do reflect $N \simeq 10^{32}$, a bound motivated by the solution to the hierarchy problem in the alike theories and obtained by the fact that strong gravity has not been seen in the particle collisions, the bound from the muon $g - 2$ turns out to be much stronger, $N \lesssim 10^{19}$. For systems on the verge of gravitational collapse, this bound on N is far too restrictive to allow populating a large gap in entropy between those systems and that of black holes of the same size.

*Electronic address: horvat@lei3.irb.hr

For a system on the brink of experiencing a sudden collapse to a black hole, $L \sim R_s$, where L is the size of the region (providing an IR cutoff) and R_s is the gravitational radius, a serious problem does arise in that its entropy scales as $L^{3/2}M_{Pl}^{3/2}$, compared to that of black holes, $L^2M_{Pl}^2$ (see, e.g. [1]). If the system under consideration is the whole universe at the preset time, the gap in entropy between those systems would be as huge as 10^{122} . To approach the problem, we have developed [2] a large- N formulation of the effective quantum field theory (QFT) with UV/IR mixing originally derived in [3].

Previous considerations for the maximum possible entropy suggest that ordinary QFT may not be valid for arbitrarily large volumes, unless the UV and the IR cutoffs obey a constraint, $L\Lambda^3 \lesssim M_{Pl}^2$ [3]. In view of the fact that an effective QFT is unlikely to provide an adequate description of any system containing black holes [4, 5], the above constraint proclaims too weak and should be replaced by a far more restrictive one, $L^{3/2}\Lambda^3 \lesssim M_{Pl}^{3/2}$ [3]. Thus, with such a constraint the holographic information is manifestly encoded in an ordinary QFT, meaning that the theory not only regains authenticity in arbitrarily large volumes, but also its application to particle phenomenology would inevitably capture some gravitational phenomena as well.

With a window to quantum gravity set in, the above field-theoretical framework has recently gained extra popularity as an original dark-energy approach, generically dubbed ‘holographic dark energy’ [6]. The main reason of why the above dark-energy model is so appealing in possible description of dark energy of the universe is when the holographic bound is saturated, $L^{3/2}\Lambda^3 \simeq M_{Pl}^{3/2}$, the energy density Λ^4 gives the right amount of dark energy in the universe at present, provided L today is of order of the Hubble parameter. Moreover, since Λ^4 is now a running quantity, it also has a potential to shed some light on the ‘cosmic coincidence problem’ [7]. In addition, the original model [3] is capable to satisfy current observations [8], since by construction it has the equation of state $\omega_\Lambda = -1$.

With the introduction of a large number of particle species $N \gg 1$ in the theory, we have shown [2] that the saturated version of the above constraint generalizes to

$$N\Lambda^4 L^4 \simeq L^2 M_{Pl}^2, \quad (1)$$

which means that the upper bound on the QFT entropy $N\Lambda^3 L^3$, unlike the total energy, now becomes N -dependent, i.e.,

$$N\Lambda^3 L^3 \simeq N^{1/4} L^{3/2} M_{Pl}^{3/2}. \quad (2)$$

The internal consistency of the theory then requires the upper bound on N to be

$$N \lesssim L^2 M_{PL}^2, \quad (3)$$

which implies that a normal system having the entropy $N\Lambda^3 L^3$, begins to sustain a black hole entropy $L^2 M_{PL}^2$ (N -independent) at saturation.

At saturation, our bound (3) becomes closely related to the bound on the gravitational cutoff of the (four dimensional) theory $\Lambda = M_{PL}/\sqrt{N}$, obtained in the scenario [9]. This bound was supported its claim with a number of different arguments including the black hole evaporation argument [10] as well as with considerations from quantum information theory [11]. The hierarchy between the Planck scale and the weak scale can then be accounted for with $N \simeq 10^{32}$. The same number also characterizes the higher-dimensional scenario [12], hosting additional particles of the Kaluza-Klein type. Indeed, (3) at saturation, together with (1) or (2), yields $\Lambda \simeq L^{-1}$, giving therefore $\Lambda \simeq M_{PL}/\sqrt{N}$. The breakdown of an effective QFT with $\Lambda \simeq L^{-1}$ clearly shows a non-perturbative nature of this bound ¹. Here it is just a possibility to downside Λ much below $\Lambda \simeq M_{PL}/\sqrt{N}$ that permits us to address the cosmological constant problem [3]. Consequently this also weakens the bound on N , as seen from the study of the early-universe evolution, with a choice for L in the form of the future event horizon [2]. The bound obtained there, $N \lesssim 10^{68}$, as model-dependent, can be improved by a few orders of magnitude, but not more.

In the present note, we show that constraints from particle phenomenology on the number of species may be so severe that the saturation in (3) is actually never approached. In particular, high precision experiments would constraint N to lay much below the typical value $N \simeq 10^{32}$ from the alike theories. In this case consequently it is no longer possible that the gap in entropy between black hole and non-black hole configurations be completed by additional species $N \gg 1$.

We begin our considerations with the constraint from the muon $g - 2$. Here we take up the same line of reasoning as already used in [3] for the $N \simeq 1$ case. Of the essence here is to notice that a contribution from radiative corrections which otherwise would tend to zero when both $\Lambda, L \rightarrow \infty$, now yields a finite answer because Λ and L should obey a nontrivial constraint from the UV/IR mixing. Although not a usual Planck-scale correction, this

¹ Unlike the present case, the correspondence $\Lambda \simeq L^{-1}$ in the AdS/CFT context means correspondence between the two different theories.

contribution is arguably of the gravitational origin, and should be regarded as a correction beyond the conventional QFT result. We have

$$\Delta(g-2) \sim \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left[\left(\frac{m_\mu}{\Lambda} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{m_\mu^2 L^2} \right], \quad (4)$$

where m_μ is the muon mass. Unlike in conventional QFT, this contribution cannot be eliminated by taking $\Lambda, L \rightarrow \infty$, because of the intrinsic relationship between the cutoffs,

$$N\Lambda^4 \lesssim L^{-2} M_{Pl}^2. \quad (5)$$

Using (5) in (4) to eliminate L , and then minimizing the whole expression with respect to Λ , one ends up with a minimal contribution beyond the conventional QFT which no way can be avoided,

$$\Delta(g-2, min) \gtrsim \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(\frac{m_\mu}{M_{PL}} \right)^{2/3} N^{1/3}. \quad (6)$$

From the report of the muon E821 anomalous magnetic moment measurement at BNL [13] we know that

$$\frac{g_\mu - 2}{2} (exp - SM) = (22 - 26) \times 10^{-10}. \quad (7)$$

In turn, this implies

$$\left(\frac{m_\mu}{M_{PL}} \right)^{2/3} N^{1/3} \lesssim 10^{-7}, \quad (8)$$

leading to a very strong bound

$$N \lesssim 10^{19}. \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, the upper bound (3), relevant for populating the the above-mentioned gap in entropy, can be estimated for Λ minimizing (4), $\Lambda_{min} \simeq N^{-1/6} m_\mu^{2/3} M_{Pl}^{1/3}$, to be $\gtrsim 10^{47}$. Hence for such configurations, the bound on N coming from high precision experiments would preclude completing the gap in entropy between normal systems and black holes of the same size.

It might be instructive to compare the contribution of $N \sim 10^{19}$ particle species to the muon $g-2$ in a QFT endowed with the peculiar UV/IR mixing of the type (5), with the the conventional Planck-scale correction $(m_\mu/M_{Pl})^2 \sim 10^{-40}$, and with a correction arising from 10^{32} species in a QFT not obeying the holographic bounds $(m_\mu/1\text{TeV})^2 \sim 10^{-8}$ (or equivalently from 10^{32} KK particles in a higher-dimensional setting). While the Planck-scale correction is vanishingly insignificant, the contribution of 10^{32} species from a conventional QFT is rather significant but still less than the contribution from a holographic theory

with 10^{19} species. This clearly demonstrates how in a holographic theory one can extract useful information on N from high precision experiments much more efficiently than in a conventional theory, where the bound on N is obtained by noting that we have not seen any strong gravity in the particle collisions.

Next we proceed with an effect attributed to the relevant operators ($D > 4$) in considering processes at low energies E . The effect explicitly depends on E and a correction induced by these operators due to the finite UV/IR cutoffs now reads,

$$f(\Lambda, L, E) \sim \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left[\left(\frac{E}{\Lambda} \right)^{D-4} + \frac{1}{L^2 E^2} \right] . \quad (10)$$

Again, using (5) and minimizing with respect to Λ yields

$$\Lambda_{min} = [(D-4)/4]^{1/D} E^{\frac{D-2}{D}} M_{Pl}^{\frac{2}{D}} N^{-\frac{1}{D}} . \quad (11)$$

The internal consistency then requires

$$E \lesssim \Lambda_{min} , \quad (12)$$

leading to an upper bound on N

$$N \lesssim \left(\frac{M_{Pl}}{E} \right)^2 . \quad (13)$$

Similarly,

$$E \gtrsim L_{min}^{-1} , \quad (14)$$

where $L_{min}^{-1} \gtrsim N^{1/2} \Lambda_{min}^2 M_{Pl}^{-1}$, precisely fills the gap from below,

$$N \gtrsim \left(\frac{M_{Pl}}{E} \right)^2 . \quad (15)$$

The highest-energy particle collisions with $E \sim 1$ TeV would then minimize the bound, and we see that a familiar value $N \sim 10^{32}$ is singled out. Notice that the bound is independent on the dimension D . One may wonder how this bound comes out in a different theory using unrelated arguments. The answer lies in the fact that $L_{min}^2 M_{Pl}^2$ always (for any D) is of order $\sim M_{Pl}^2/E^2$, and that we have actually approached the black-hole limit where the two theories coincide. The internal-consistency arguments alone would always blow N in (3) up to a saturation, approving the familiar bound.

Now we use the holographic restriction (5) to constrain the parameters of the renormalization-group (RG) evolution in a conventional field-theoretical model in curved space, i.e.,

$$\rho_\Lambda(\mu) \simeq \mu^2 G_N^{-1}(\mu) , \quad (16)$$

where ρ_Λ is the zero-point energy density (substituting Λ^4), $G_N^{-1} = M_{Pl}^2$ and we have identified the IR cutoff with the RG scale μ . We have also generalized the original relation (5) to incorporate the running character of the Planck mass as well. We want to set constraints on a theory with a large number N of species with a mass scale m .

When the RG running scale μ is below the lowest mass in the theory, we can write the RG laws for ρ_Λ and G_N as [14]

$$\rho_\Lambda(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \mu^{2n} , \quad (17)$$

$$G_N^{-1}(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n \mu^{2n} . \quad (18)$$

We assume that both series converge well and can be well approximated by retaining just a first few terms. From the studies of the cosmologies with the running ρ_Λ and G_N in the formalism of QFT in curved spacetime [14, 15] we know that generally $C_1 \sim Nm^2$, $C_2 \sim N$, $C_3 \sim 1/m_{min}^2$, etc.; $D_0 = M_{Pl}^2$, $D_1 \sim N$, $D_2 \sim 1/m_{min}^2$ etc., where m_{min} denotes the smallest mass of all massive fields in the theory. Plugging (17) and (18) into (16) we get ² $C_n \simeq D_{n-1}$ and thus

$$Nm^2 \simeq M_{Pl}^2 . \quad (19)$$

If furthermore we formally equalize

$$Nm^2 \mu^2 \simeq N\Lambda^4 , \quad (20)$$

one sees that the black-hole limit $\mu \simeq \Lambda$ implies $m \simeq \Lambda$, and again $\Lambda \simeq M_{Pl}/\sqrt{N}$ runs out. Much more interesting is the other possibility allowed by (19) and (20), $m \gtrsim \Lambda$. In this case

$$N \simeq \frac{M_{Pl}^2}{m^2} \lesssim \frac{M_{Pl}^2}{\Lambda^2} \lesssim \frac{M_{Pl}^2}{\mu^2} , \quad (21)$$

and the constraint of the type (3) now translates into much stronger one involving the UV cutoff. We see that the allowable number of species N gets progressively reduced as m is elevated. Since we know that the mass spectrum of elementary particles could expand up till $\sim M_{Pl}$, the limit on the number of species can be as low as ~ 1 for hugely massive particles. It is curious to see how holography tends to expand the particle spectrum towards M_{Pl} , at the same time switching the role of the UV cutoff and the particle mass.

² We note that from the holographic perspective C_0 , representing the ground state of the vacuum, is always set to zero.

In conclusion, we have considered the large- N limit of the four-dimensional effective QFT obeying the holographic entropy bounds. As such it can thus serve as a bridge from particle theory into quantum gravity. The bound on the number of species from the muon $g - 2$ has appeared so severe, that normal systems are prevented to evolve into black holes of the same size by increasing N . On the other hand, the internal consistency of the theory seems to always pinpoint the benchmark value $N \simeq 10^{32}$ from the alike theories. Finally, using the holographic entropy bounds to set constraints on the RG evolution of both the cosmological constant and Newton's constant, we see that the particle mass is to assume the role of the UV cutoff.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the Ministry of Science, Education and Sport of the Republic of Croatia under contract No. 098-0982887-2872.

-
- [1] P. Frampton, S. D. H. Hsu, D. Reeb, and T. W. Kephart, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 26 (2009) 145005.
 - [2] R. Horvat, *Phys. Lett.* B674 (2009) 1.
 - [3] A. Cohen, D. Kaplan, and A. Nelson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82 (1999) 4971.
 - [4] G.'t Hooft, gr-qc/9310026.
 - [5] D. A. Lowe, J. Polchinski, L. Susskind, L. Thorlacius, and J. Uglum, *Phys. Rev.*D52 (1995) 6997.
 - [6] S. D. Hsu, *Phys. Lett.* B594 (2004) 1; M. Li, *Phys. Lett.* B603 (2004) 1; R. Horvat, *Phys. Rev.* D70 (2004) 087301.
 - [7] P. J. Steinhardt, in "Critical Problems in Physics", edited by V. L. Fitch and Dr. R. Marlow (Princeton University Press), Princeton, N. J., (1997).
 - [8] M. Tegmark et.al., *Phys. Rev.* D69 (2004) 103501.
 - [9] G. Dvali, arXiv:0706.2050 [hep-th].
 - [10] G. Dvali and M. Redi, *Phys. Rev.* D77 (2008) 045027; G. Dvali and S. N. Solodukhin, arXiv:0806.3976 [hep-th].
 - [11] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, arXiv:0812.1940 [hep-th]; arXiv:0907.3237 [hep-th].
 - [12] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. Dvali, *Phys. Lett.* B429 (1998) 263; C. Cao and Yi-Xin Chen, arXiv:0809.4075 [hep-th].

- [13] G. W. Bennett et al., Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 072003.
- [14] A. Babić, B. Guberina, R. Horvat, and H. Stefančić, Phys. Rev. D65 (2002) 085002; B. Guberina, R. Horvat, and H. Stefančić, Phys. Rev. D67 (2003) 083001; I. L. Shapiro and J. Sola, JHEP 0202 (2002) 006.
- [15] I. L. Shapiro and J. Sola, Phys. Lett. B475 (2000) 236.